



SEP 1 0 2001
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

SEP 1 0 2001

Richard W. Wagner
Hamilton, Brook, Smith & Reynolds
Two Militia Drive
Lexington, MA 02421-4799

In re Application of
ARNOLD et al.
Application No.: 09/831,859
PCT No.: PCT/US99/25903
Int. Filing Date: 03 November 1999
Priority Date: 06 November 1998
Attorney Docket No.: 2079.1028-03
For: THE INHIBITION OF THE FORMATION
OF VASCULAR HYPERPERMEABILITY

:
:
:
DECISION ON
:
:
PETITION UNDER
:
:
37 CFR 1.137(b)
:
:
:

This decision is in response to "PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)" filed 14 May 2001.

BACKGROUND

On 03 November 1999, applicants filed international application PCT/US99/25903, which claimed a priority date of 06 November 1998. A copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) from the International Bureau on 18 May 2000. A Demand for international preliminary examination, in which the United States was elected, was filed on 25 April 2000, which was within nineteen months from the priority date. Accordingly, the thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 06 April 2001.

On 14 May 2001, applicants filed a submission for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the U.S. Basic National Fee.

On 13 March 2001, applicants also filed the instant "PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)".

DISCUSSION

A petition to revive the present application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must include:

- (1) The required reply;
- (2) The petition fee;
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

As to item (1), applicants submitted the basic national fee on 14 May 2001.

As to item (2), applicants submitted the petition fee of \$1,240.00 on 14 May 2001.

With regard to item (3), petitioner has provided the required statement.

A review of the application file reveals that, with the filing of the present petition and accompanying papers, a proper response has been submitted and all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) for revival have been satisfied and revival is therefore appropriate.

CONCLUSION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED** for the reasons set forth above.

Please direct any further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Box PCT, Washington, D.C. 20231, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

This application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Branch of the Office of PCT Operations to continue national stage processing of the application including preparing and mailing a NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) requiring an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(e) for providing the oath or declaration later than thirty months from the priority date.



Daniel Stemmer
Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Affairs
Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty
Legal Administration
Telephone: (703) 308-2066
Facsimile: (703) 308-6459